



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/843,199	1	04/26/2001	James E. Veres	MSFT-0245/154792.2	8823
41505	7590 01/27/2006			EXAMINER	
		SHBURN LLP (M	FOWLKES, ANDRE R		
PHILADELI		CE - 46TH FLOOR \ 19103	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2192	-

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extension of time may be availated used the provision of 37 CPR 11-380, in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory parted will apply and will expre SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failur to reply which the set or excended period for rejey is specified above, the maximum statutory parted will apply and will expre SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failur to reply which the set or excended period for rejey will, by statuke, cause the application become ABANDONEO (50 U.S. C § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than these movines after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamle parameters that the seamle of the communication of the seamle of the seamle seamle application of the seamle application of the seamle application of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamle parameters are seamle application of the seamle and the seamle application is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 \text{Siam(s)} Claim(s) \frac{1.2.4-16.18-32.3.4-40.42-49.51 and 52} is/are pending in the application.  4 \text{Oligin(s)} Is/are allowed.  6 \text{Claim(s)} Is/are allowed.  6 \text{Claim(s)} Is/are objected to by the Examiner.  7 \text{Claim(s)} Is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10 The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 \text{The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 \text{The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 \text{Acknowledgment		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Andre R. Fowlkes  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum situation period will apply and will expire SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum situation period will apply and will expire SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum situation period will apply and will expire SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified aprend for reply like of the communication will period the specified period and period period to the specified period		09/843,199	VERES ET AL.					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estension of line may be available under the provision of 3° CFR 1.136(a) in a overall, province and a provision of the pro	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - basenations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.158(a). In no event, however, may a may be demay filled.  - basenations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.158(a). In no event, however, may a may be demay filled.  - basenation of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.158(a). In no event, however, may a may be demay filled this communication or reply is specified above, the maximum station or pay is specified and the time three making dilet of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for riply will, by statute, cause the application to become ARANDONED (36 U.S. C. § 133). Along the ymbore by the Office into this increase the major may reduce any available period will be a second provided by the Continuous and the part of t		Andre R. Fowlkes	2192					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GF1 13/08(). In a event nower, may a reply be finely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of his communication of the state of the stat	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 October 2005.  2a	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4-16.18-32.34-40.42-49.51 and 52 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8 ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4-16.18-32.34-40.42-49.51 and 52 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4-16.18-32.34-40.42-49.51 and 52 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Oc	ctober 2005.						
3	·— ·							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	,_		osecution as to the merits is					
Application of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.2.4-16.18-32.34-40.42-49.51 and 52 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to sy the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  20 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	, —							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  20 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-149 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Claim(s) 1 2 4-16 18-32 34-40 42-49 51 and 52	? is/are pending in the application	٦.					
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-16,18-32,34-40,42-49,51 and 52 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s)								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Poratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		-						
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	,	election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	,	·						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		_						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	/ <del>=</del>							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	The dath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	animer. Note the attached emee	7.00.01.01.10.11.7.0.7.02.					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)	🗖	(27.2.440)					
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F	ate					

Application/Control Number: 09/843,199 Page 2

Art Unit: 2192

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/26/05 has been entered.

2. Claims 1, 11, 27, 35, 43 and 52 have been amended. Claims 3, 17, 33, 41 and 50 have been canceled. Claims 1, 2, 4-16,18-32, 34-40, 42-49, 51 and 52 are pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4-16,18-32, 34-40, 42-49, 51 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over London Shrader et al., (London Shrader), U.S. Patent No. 5,870,611 in view of Yinger et al., (Yinger), U.S. Patent No. 5,960,204 (art made of record).

Art Unit: 2192

As per claim 1, London Shrader discloses a system for managing application installation operations, a method of communicating with an application, (col. 1:18-21, "This invention relates generally to electronic distribution of software in computer networks. More particularly, the invention relates to defining and constructing a proposed plan object for installing the software on the network"), comprising:

Page 3

- receiving from the application a call to set a property related to performing an application installation operation (col. 7:21-30, "The Installation, Configuration, Removal or Reinstallation commands attributes 106-112 provide the means to process the application-in-plan object on the workstation-in-plan objects in the workstation group-in-plan object in the Plan object. These attributes (i.e. properties) help to uniquely identify the application from other applications and to specify the commands to execute for the specified action, e.g., installation or configuration The action type attribute 114 denotes the type of processing for this application, i.e. install, configure, remove, reinstall, or maintenance system using their respective commands"),

- receiving from the application a call to initialize an application installation operation (col. 7:21-30, "The Installation, Configuration, Removal or Reinstallation commands attributes 106-112 provide the means to process the application-in-plan object on the workstation-in-plan objects in the workstation group-in-plan object in the Plan object. These attributes help to uniquely identify the application from other applications and to specify the commands to execute (i.e. initialize) for the specified action, e.g., installation or configuration The action type attribute 114 denotes the type of processing for this application, i.e. install, configure, remove, reinstall, or

Art Unit: 2192

maintenance system using their respective commands", and col. 5:53-54, "procedures which (initialize an application installation operation and continue to) track the current state of installation"),

- receiving from the application a call to finalize the application installation operation (col. 7:21-30, "The Installation, Configuration, Removal or Reinstallation commands attributes 106-112 provide the means to process the application-in-plan object on the workstation-in-plan objects in the workstation group-in-plan object in the Plan object. These attributes help to uniquely identify the application from other applications and to specify the commands to execute (i.e. finalize) for the specified action, e.g., installation or configuration The action type attribute 114 denotes the type of processing for this application, i.e. install, configure, remove, reinstall, or maintenance system using their respective commands", and col. 5:53-54, "procedures which (finalize an application installation operation and report) .. the current state of installation").

London Shrader doesn't explicitly disclose that if the application installation operation is not executed successfully by the application, receiving a call to abort the application installation operation.

However, Yinger, in an analogous environment, discloses that if the application installation operation is not executed successfully by the application, receiving a call to abort the application installation operation (col. 12:26-31, "The loading subprocess determines 935 whether the installation of the application module or the

Art Unit: 2192

current version of the application module was successful. If the installation was unsuccessful, the installation is canceled 955 and a cancel flag is returned 965 to the process step calling for the execution of the application module").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to incorporate the teachings of Yinger into the system of London Shrader to receive a call to abort the application installation operation if the application installation operation is not executed successfully by the application. The modification would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have wanted to avoid an unstable or uncertain state by avoiding calls to an unsuccessfully installed program.

As per claim 2, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses that said application installation operation is at least one of the following: install, downsize, reinstall, uninstall (col. 7:28-30, "The action type attribute 114 denotes the type of processing for this application, i.e. install, configure, remove (i.e. downsize/uninstall), reinstall, or maintenance").

As per claim 4, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses that said call to initialize the application installation operation is InitializeInstall, and said call to finalize the application installation operation is FinalizeInstall (col. 5:53-54, "procedures which (initialize an application installation operation and continue to) track the current state of installation", and col. 5:53-54,

Art Unit: 2192

"procedures which (finalize an application installation operation and continue to report) ... the current state of installation", The London Shrader system performs the functionality of this claim. The examiner notes that claiming a specific name for this exhibited functionality is non-functional descriptive material. Merely claiming Non-functional descriptive material does not make this claim patentable).

As per claim 5, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further London

Shrader discloses that said call to initialize the application installation operation is

InitializeDownsize, and said call to finalize the application installation operation is

FinalizeDownsize (col. 7:28-30, "The action type attribute 114 denotes the type of processing for this application, i.e. install, configure, remove (i.e. downsize/uninstall), reinstall, or maintenance". The London Shrader system performs the functionality of this claim. The examiner notes that claiming a specific name for this exhibited functionality is non-functional descriptive material. Merely claiming Non-functional descriptive material does not make this claim patentable).

As per claim 6, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses that said call to initialize the application installation operation is InitializeReInstall, and said call to finalize the application installation operation is FinalizeReInstall (col. 7:28-30, "The action type attribute 114 denotes the type of processing for this application, i.e. install, configure, remove (i.e. downsize/uninstall), reinstall, or maintenance". The London Shrader system performs the functionality of

Art Unit: 2192

this claim. The examiner notes that claiming a specific name for this exhibited functionality is non-functional descriptive material. Merely claiming Non-functional descriptive material does not make this claim patentable).

As per claim 7, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses that said call to initialize the application installation operation is InitializeUnInstall, and said call to finalize the application installation operation is FinalizeUnInstall (col. 7:28-30, "The action type attribute 114 denotes the type of processing for this application, i.e. install, configure, remove (i.e. downsize/uninstall), reinstall, or maintenance". The London Shrader system performs the functionality of this claim. The examiner notes that claiming a specific name for this exhibited functionality is non-functional descriptive material. Merely claiming Non-functional descriptive material does not make this claim patentable).

As per claim 8, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses receiving a call from the application to get a property related to performing an application installation operation (col. 5:25-28, "A response file is a flat ASCII file that contains a set of responses to a corresponding set of questions (i.e. get property calls) asked by the program during the installation and/or configuration process.").

As per claim 9, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses that said call to set a property is SetProperty (col. 7:28-30, "The action type attribute 114 denotes the type of processing for this application, i.e. install, configure (i.e. set property), remove (i.e. downsize/uninstall), reinstall, or maintenance". The London Shrader system performs the functionality of this claim. The examiner notes that claiming a specific name for this exhibited functionality is non-functional descriptive material. Merely claiming Non-functional descriptive material does not make this claim patentable).

As per claim 10, this is a computer readable medium/product version of the claimed method discussed above, in claim 1, wherein all claimed limitations have also been addressed and/or cited as set forth above. Additionally, such a product is deemed to be inherent in the system, otherwise, it would be inoperative.

As per claim 13, the rejection of claim 12 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses that said call to SetProperty includes a parameter identifying APP\_PROPERTY\_COMPANYNAME as the property that is being set (col. 6:41-43, "The Group Container (i.e. a group of workstations belonging to a company/entity) 54 is used to hold one or more workstation Group objects 200 which are defined by the administrator.").

Application/Control Number: 09/843,199 Page 9

Art Unit: 2192

As per claim 14, the rejection of claim 13 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses that said call to SetProperty further includes a parameter for pointing to a string identifying a company (col. 6:41-43, "The Group Container (i.e. a group of workstations belonging to a company/entity) 54 is used to hold one or more workstation Group objects 200 which are defined by the administrator.").

As per claim 19, the rejection of claim 12 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses that receiving a call to SetProperty having a parameter identifying APP\_PROPERTY\_SIGNATURE as the property that is being set (col. 7:16-18, "The Short Name attribute 102 is used to uniquely identify the object (i.e. an objects signature) within the Network Installation Program."),

- receiving a call to SetProperty having a parameter identifying

APP\_PROPERTY\_CATEGORY as the property that is being set (col. 6:43-45,

"Workstation Group objects 200 contain Workstation objects 225 which represent
workstations which are to be installed with similar software (i.e. the same category of workstations)"),

- receiving a call to SetProperty having a parameter identifying

APP\_PROPERTY\_ESTIMATEDINSTALLSIZE as the property that is being set (col. 7:14-16, "Application and application-in-plan objects have a series of attributes (i.e. properties) to define the application object instance (i.e. the estimated install size)").

Art Unit: 2192

As per claim 22, the rejection of claim 21 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses that said call to GetProperty has a parameter identifying APP\_PROPERTY\_GUID as the application property being retrieved (col. 7:16-18, "The Short Name attribute 102 is used to uniquely identify the object (i.e. a GUID) within the Network Installation Program.").

As per claim 23, the rejection of claim 21 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses that said call to GetProperty has a parameter for pointing to a string containing a GUID value identifying the application that is the object of the install operation (col. 7:16-18, "The Short Name attribute 102 is used to uniquely identify the object (i.e. a GUID) within the Network Installation Program.").

As per claim 24, the rejection of claim 22 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses:

- receiving a call to GetProperty having a parameter identifying

  APP\_PROPERTY\_ROOTPATH as the application property that is being retrieved

  (col. 8:2-4, "The Real File Name attribute 152 is the fully qualified path to the response file to be used during the processing of the application."),
- receiving a call to GetProperty having a parameter identifying

  APP\_PROPERTY\_SETROOTPATH as the application property that is being

  retrieved (col. 8:2-4, "The Real File Name attribute 152 is the fully qualified path to the response file to be used during the processing of the application.").

Application/Control Number: 09/843,199 Page 11

Art Unit: 2192

As per claim 25, the rejection of claim 12 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses:

- receiving a call to SetProperty having a parameter identifying

APP\_PROPERTY\_EXECUTECMDLINE as the application property that is being set

(col. 9:45-50, "The Install Script attribute 313 indicates the code server object and

optionally the directory path where the client workstations can access their install script

files (i.e. execution command line) which indicate the commands and order in which

applications should be installed and configured on workstations"),

- receiving a call to SetProperty having a parameter identifying

APP\_PROPERTY\_DEFAULTSETUPEXECMDLINE as the application property that

is being set (col. 9:45-50, "The Install Script attribute 313 indicates the code server

object and optionally the directory path where the client workstations can access their install script files (i.e. default setup command line) which indicate the commands and order in which applications should be installed and configured on workstations").

As per claims 11, 12, 15-16, 18, 20, 21 and 26, this is another method version of the claimed method discussed above, in claims 1, 2, 4-16,18-23, wherein all claimed limitations have also been addressed and/or cited as set forth above. For example, see the London Shrader/Yinger system (e.g. London Shrader col. 15:60-18:25 & Yinger col. 12:26-31).

Art Unit: 2192

As per claims 27-32 & 34, this is another method version of the claimed method discussed above, in claims 1, 2, 4-16,18-23, wherein all claimed limitations have also been addressed and/or cited as set forth above. For example, see the London Shrader/Yinger system (e.g. London Shrader col. 15:60-18:25 & Yinger col. 12:26-31).

As per claims 35-40 & 42, this is another method version of the claimed method discussed above, in claims 1, 2, 4-16,18-23, wherein all claimed limitations have also been addressed and/or cited as set forth above. For example, see the London Shrader/Yinger system (e.g. London Shrader col. 15:60-18:25 & Yinger col. 12:26-31).

As per claims 43-49 & 51, this is another method version of the claimed method discussed above, in claims 1, 2, 4-16,18-23, wherein all claimed limitations have also been addressed and/or cited as set forth above. For example, see the London Shrader/Yinger system (e.g. London Shrader col. 15:60-18:25 & Yinger col. 12:26-31).

As per claim 52, this is a system version of the claimed method discussed above, in claim 1, wherein all claimed limitations have also been addressed and/or cited as set forth above. For example, see the London Shrader/Yinger system (e.g. London Shrader col. 15:60-18:25 & Yinger col. 12:26-31).

Art Unit: 2192

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 11, 27, 35, 43 & 52 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre R. Fowlkes whose telephone number is (571) 272-3697. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on (571)272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ARF

TUAN DAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER